

Section 5: Course and module modifications

- 5.1 Following the Validation or Re-validation of a course there is still an expectation that courses and modules may require modification to respond to advances in the academic discipline, research, improved technology enhanced learning opportunities, student feedback, Professional Statutory Regulatory Body and external examiners feedback. In order to ensure the approved course outcomes are maintained, avoid 'validation drift' and to ensure appropriate actions identified through the continuous improvement process the University operates a proportionate course and module modifications process.
- 5.2 The modifications process recognises that there is a balance between the transparency of information provided to applicants and students and the need for curriculum, assessment and learning outcomes to be continuously enhanced in line with best practice and ensuring courses are kept up to date. Those proposing and approving the modifications do so on the basis of a shared responsibility and shared goal for improving the quality of the course in the interests of staff, students and applicants. A holistic approach to the curriculum and assessment is expected through all modifications.
- 5.3 Those proposing and approving the changes have a shared responsibility to ensure that the impact on the learning outcomes, assessment rationale, assessment criteria have been articulated to national expectations, for example appropriate level learning outcomes. In proposing minor, major or structural changes it is expected that consideration of the potential impact at course level is considered by the course leader or equivalent e.g. course outcomes, Professional Body expectations, subject benchmarks, and Franchise (at collaborative partners) implications prior to submission.
- 5.4 Changes to award titles and proposals for additional named awards must be submitted to and be approved by the relevant University Committee; these cannot be approved by the modification process.

Types of modifications

- 5.5 There are four categories of modification, minor, major, structural and exceptional retrospective, this is to ensure proportionality in the approval process. In addition, annual updates to reading lists are expected.
- i) **Minor modifications:** will normally be expected to have no impact on the overall published programme specification for example course aims, course outcomes, objectives, philosophy, balance of the course, subject benchmarks and Professional Statutory Body requirements and are normally a single or very small number of changes to modules, these are usually proposed by Module Leaders.
 - ii) **Major modifications:** are more extensive changes often across multiple modules where a courses overall outcomes, balance and overall philosophy may potentially be affected. This category requires additional evidence over and above that required for a minor modification. Such modifications are normally expected to form one coherent clear proposal.

- iii) **Structural changes:** are those that impact on the overall course structures of an award, course outcomes or overall philosophy of a course. In addition, refer to v) and vi).
- iv) **Scheduled hours changes:** the University scheduled hours form part of the expected published information for which applicants can reasonably be expected to help inform their decision to come to the University of Westminster over competitor courses based on the information provided. This information is expected to be published by all Higher Education institutions by the Competition and Markets Authority in advance of the *applicant* cycle. It is therefore expected that scheduled hours cannot normally be changed unless through revalidation or as part of a structural modification which has an earlier deadline.
- v) **Pre-requisites, dis-requisites, and co-requisites:** The inclusion of modules which must be passed before another module can be undertaken can impact completion and progression decisions for students and therefore have wider impacts on the matrix of data. Taking a risk-based approach such changes are therefore deemed to be structural.
- vi) **Retrospective changes:** in highly exceptional circumstances a module or course may be required to change for the current academic year. Such changes can only be approved by the University Teaching Committee Chair or nominee.

Note: The modifications table provides full details of the approval mechanism, a summary of the information and evidence required and examples.

5.6 Modifications are normally considered on behalf of the College Teaching Committee. Each College Teaching Committee establishes the process for consideration and approval of the proposals. Approval by the College Teaching Committee Chair or Head of School is normally expected, the below exceptions apply:

- i) Where structural course changes are occurring to a course validated or re-validated within the last year, the proposal should normally be considered by the relevant University Validation Panel (UVP) Chair or a member of the Course Validation Standing Panel (CVSP).
- ii) Where 50% or more of the course structure is changing. Structural modifications are normally considered to be 'modifying' a course or group of courses. Where 50% or more of any course structure associated with the modification is proposed to change a University Panel Chair from outside the College may be required to approve the modification. In addition, this may trigger a meeting with the course team, with the understanding further documentation may be required. Where courses are due for revalidation it is normally anticipated that in line with the revalidation process this is where the large holistic proposals should be considered. Such decisions will normally be made by the Deputy Registrar Academic Quality and Standards. Such proposals are strongly recommended to be submitted well before published deadlines.

5.7 The University will set deadlines each academic year for the consideration of proposals. The deadlines take account of the opportunities for student feedback, staff reflections, discussions with external examiners and to allow for a rigorous approval process, whilst balancing the need for other necessary requirements which help improve the student experience e.g. timetabling and the communication of accurate published information to both applicants and continuing students impacted by the

changes. All deadlines apply to the submission of the full required forms and supporting evidence.

- i) There is an expectation that major and structural changes will be submitted no later than early-March, allowing for appropriate communication to applicants, students and key stakeholders. It is recognised that continuing students may have been required to register for modules prior to the deadline and applicants may already have accepted a place based on the current published information, course teams are therefore strongly encouraged to submit proposals earlier.
- ii) Proposals for major modifications should normally be considered within 20 working days. Structural modifications should normally also take approximately 20 days however may take longer depending on the scale of the proposed changes and potential requirement for a face-to-face meeting with the course representatives. In all cases, formal written approval must be secured before the proposed changes are communicated as approved.
- iii) The principles outlined for modification deadlines should also apply to non-standard starting courses e.g. January start courses should where possible allow for student feedback following one semester of teaching. Extra attention should be given to transitional arrangement for part time and January starters, where possible student consultation should also include these representative groups.
- iv) Credit-bearing modules which are not part of a University of Westminster award but may be considered a course for the students, should be approved by the College Teaching Committee Chair. There is an expectation that the relevant external examiner or a specialist external advisor for the subject discipline will be consulted regardless of the credit level and this evidence is submitted as part of the proposal.
- v) The addition of a new Westminster Elective to the portfolio of modules should be considered by a University Panel Chair with experience in interdisciplinary teaching activity and a panel representative from another College. There is an expectation that the relevant external examiner or a specialist external advisor for the subject discipline will be consulted regardless of the credit level and this evidence is submitted as part of the proposal.

- 5.8 In exceptional circumstances it is recognised that changes to courses and modules may be required during the academic year and are therefore considered retrospective. It is anticipated that at course level these will be exceptionally rare. In such cases these must have the approval of the Head of College and Chair of the University Teaching Committee, discussions with students and the external examiner will also be expected. Where changes to modules are felt required during the academic session these are also presumed to be exceptional and must be approved by the Chair of the Teaching Committee or nominee.
- 5.9 The Quality and Standards Office are expected to formally communicate approval of any changes to the relevant College and Registry staff. In addition, it is important that communication with Global Recruitment, Admissions Marketing and Communications (where applicable) takes place to ensure the accuracy of published information e.g., on web pages, prospectuses, course promotions. Records will be kept by the Quality and Standards Officers on behalf of the College Teaching Committee.
- 5.10 Following approval of modifications, it is the responsibility of the Course Leader or nominee to ensure students and the external examiner are informed of the changes. Students should be informed at the earliest opportunity following approval. In the

case of structural changes communication should be explicitly to applicants and those students affected.

- 5.11 Changes to modules which take account of individual students' disability needs are also expected, in such cases these are normally approved by the Disability Learning Support Unit on a case-by-case basis. In the case of Collaborative partners this decision making will be the responsibility of the partner institution.

Types of changes	Summary	List of examples	Evidence expected	Approval	Other action
Annual updates to modules that do not require approval	Annual updates to modules are expected in some areas to ensure information is accurate and up to date.	<p>Module leader changes, reading lists, articles and other learning resources.</p> <p>Module Leaders names are not included in the module proforma once published. This is to ensure the information remains current. Students access this information in several places which is linked from the Student Record System (Refer to other action)</p> <p>Online reading lists are expected to be published each year on Blackboard. It is expected that the Module Leader updates this as appropriate for the content of the module. The Academic Liaison Team can be contacted for any queries.</p>	N/A	No approval required.	<p>The Academic Engagement Librarians should be informed of updated reading lists as soon as possible to ensure the resources are available in a timely manner for students.</p> <p>Where a Module Leader changes the Registry Office should be notified to update the Student Record System, this is crucial for notifications, links to Blackboard and accurate information in E Vision for staff and students.</p>

Schedule hours explanation: The definitions of schedule hours are influenced by external agencies such as OfS and the UKVI. They may have important implications; any queries should be directed to Quality and Standards in the first instance.

Types of changes	Summary	Examples	Approval required	Other action required
Scheduled hour changes Changing types of hours	<p>Changes to student learning and teaching hours table</p> <p>Module proformas should be updated through the modification process where there has been an adjustment to the hours between differing types of teaching methods as described in the indicative student learning and teaching hour's table, but where there is crucially no change to the total hours of non-independent study.</p> <p>Note hours that are delivered online must be included in the published module proforma. It is expected all modules include some on campus delivery unless linked to a distance learning course. Further guidance is available via Quality and Standards.</p>	<p>An example is a move from 20 hours of lecturers to 18 hours of lectures and 2 hours of seminars, i.e., there is no change to the overall scheduled time.</p>	<p>Minimum approval required, although this should be logged as a minor modification to ensure the published module proforma is accurately published to students.</p>	<p>Minor modification</p>
Undergraduate standard hours	<p>For undergraduate courses the University has approved that a standard module will normally be a maximum of 48 scheduled hours. However, exceptions</p>	<p>An undergraduate module with 49 total scheduled hours or above.</p>	<p>Not applicable however the Head of School can reasonably be expected to ensure the College</p>	<p>Head of School approval</p>

Types of changes	Summary	Examples	Approval required	Other action required
	<p>are permitted. This may also be in line with subject sector comparisons.</p> <p>For new undergraduate modules that exceed 48 hours this must be approved by the Head of School (or equivalent), this is to ensure the resourcing is appropriately identified at an early stage. A validation or revalidation Panel following this approval have the right to approve such exceptions.</p>	No threshold exists for postgraduate modules.	resources have been considered.	
Changing the total scheduled hours	<p>Where the total scheduled hours are changing this has the potential to impact on the courses total published scheduled hours, which is published to applicants. Any changes in scheduled hours at module level is considered a structural modification, it will in addition require the holistic overview by the Course Leader to ascertain the course level impact.</p> <p>Where changes are taking place across multiple modules the Head of School is expected to take an overview.</p>	Module changing from 48 hours scheduled activity to 44 hours scheduled activity.	Student consultation is required.	By the College Teaching Committee and endorsed by Quality and Standards by the published deadlines.

FORMAL COURSE AND MODULE MODIFICATIONS PROCESS

MODIFICATION TYPE	EXAMPLE	EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL	APPROVAL REQUIRED
<p>MINOR MODULE MODIFICATION</p> <p>Minor modifications will normally be expected to have no impact on the published programme specifications for example overall course aims, course outcomes, objectives, philosophy, balance of the course, subject benchmarks and Professional Statutory Body requirements. Examples of these types of modifications are provided.</p> <p>The potential impact of ‘minor changes’ on course outcomes is however recognised. An example might be the removal of a presentation on a core module, that may result in a course outcome aligned to ‘verbally communicating’. The course leader or equivalent is expected to ensure minor modifications are not impacting on the overall course outcomes. Where the course outcomes are changing, please refer to major modifications.</p>	<p>Changes to Module Learning outcomes, assessment methods, assessment weightings, qualifying marks/sets, assessment criteria, the way the module is delivered (teaching and Learning methods).</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) A brief and clear explanation describing the proposed changes, and the date from which they would be implemented. E.g., change from exam to coursework. 2) Academic rationale E.g., the academic purpose for the change from exam to coursework. 3) Updated Module Proforma <p>In addition it is expected the Module Leader reflects upon the currency of the reading list. If updates are required these should be communicated to the Academic Engagement Librarians Team at the earliest opportunity.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Module Leader 2) Course leader or Head of School/Nominee(s) <p>In signing the course leader or equivalent is also confirming there is no impact on the overall course outcomes.</p> <p>*Where the module is core across more than one named award it is expected that consultation on behalf of each course has taken place to ensure course outcomes are not impacted.</p> <p>Approval on behalf of the College Teaching Committee</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3) Endorsed by the Quality and Standards Office. 4) Communication to students by the course leader or nominee

MODIFICATION TYPE	EXAMPLE	EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL	APPROVAL REQUIRED
<p>MAJOR MODIFICATION</p> <p>Major modifications are more extensive changes often across multiple modules where a courses overall outcomes, balance and overall philosophy may be affected. This category requires additional evidence over and above that required for a minor modification. Such modifications are normally expected to form one clear proposal.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extensive changes to assessment, learning outcomes, across current modules. • Changes to overall course aims, course outcomes, objectives, philosophy. • Module Title changes • New cohort start date e.g. January start • The addition of an already approved option module with no associated removal of another module. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) A very brief explanation outlining what the changes are, normally from the course leader or equivalent and when they will be implemented. 2) Academic Rationale – this describes in more detail the academic purpose of the changes. 3) Updated Module proforma(s) 4) Updated Programme Specification if appropriate (module title changes will require an update to all applicable Programme Specifications). 5) Consultation with students where appropriate, determined with shared responsibility by those proposing and approving the changes, the Chair of the College Teaching Committee making a final judgment. This is normally expected to be through the course committee, student representatives or evidence from student surveys. 6) External Examiner consultation where appropriate; determined with shared responsibility by those proposing and approving the changes, with the Chair of the College Teaching Committee making a final judgment. 7) PSRB reports or guidance where appropriate. 8) In the case of a new cohort start date a statement from the course leader should explain the expected module running order including the final dissertation submission this may impact on the normal duration of the course. Assurance is expected to be given that 	<p>Approval is as per minor modifications</p>

MODIFICATION TYPE	EXAMPLE	EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL	APPROVAL REQUIRED
		<p>the same course including all options modules are available to both cohorts.</p> <p>9) Where an already approved option module is being added to a course with no associated removal of a module, no further evidence is required other than the updated programme specification. The addition must still be approved by Head of School or nominee. Course team discussions with Registry and Timetabling will be required following approval.</p> <p>In addition it is expected the Module Leader(s) reflects upon the currency of the reading list. If updates are required these should be communicated to the Academic Engagement Librarians Team at the earliest opportunity.</p> <p>Where an accumulation of minor modifications results in significant changes across a named award in one academic year the Chair of the College Teaching Committee can ask for the above information to be provided. In determining if the changes are extensive the overall course structure number of credits involved, extent of the course outcome changes will be considered.</p>	

STRUCTURAL MODIFICATIONS

MODIFICATION TYPE	EXAMPLES	EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT PROPOSAL	APPROVAL REQUIRED.
<p>STRUCTURAL CHANGES</p> <p>Structural changes are those that impact on the structure of the course, although other instances apply.</p> <p>The only exception is where an additional already approved option module(s) is being proposed, such modifications can be dealt with under the major modification process as the published expectations of students are not being impacted.</p>	<p>Changes to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • core and option modules, including the removal of a named option module. • credit value • credit level • mode of study • The approval of new module(s) on a named award. • Changes to pre- or co requisite requirements 	<p>The required evidence is as per a major modification; however, an updated Programme Specification, student and external examiner consultation are requirements.</p> <p>All new modules must be considered by an appropriate external examiner.</p> <p>Where a mode of study is being introduced or changed this must be approved by the Head of College or nominee, a statement from the course leader will be required to confirm how students on the new mode of study will be supported.</p> <p>Pre-requisite requirements impact on module registration, and progressions decision, submissions are strongly encouraged to be submitted as soon as possible.</p> <p>In addition it is expected the Module Leader(s) reflects upon the currency of existing reading list and creates one online for any new modules. If updates are required these should be communicated to the Academic Engagement Librarians Team at the earliest opportunity to ensure the timely availability to students.</p>	<p>Approved by the</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) College Teaching Committee (including the Chair) 2) Approved 3) Endorsed by Quality and Standards. <p>The scrutiny of the proposal(s) will normally take place by correspondence however a meeting may be required for more complex proposals.</p> <p>Communication to students and applicants must take place providing the rationale and reasons for the change.</p>
<p>Credit bearing short courses</p> <p>New credit bearing short course/modules with no</p>	<p>A new 20 credit module to be delivered to an external group of students or to be</p>	<p>Module Proforma (s)</p> <p>Comments from an external examiner or independent examiner advisor from the relevant subject discipline</p>	<p>College Teaching Committee Chair. If it is deemed appropriate and proportionate by the Chair a meeting may be required.</p>

MODIFICATION TYPE	EXAMPLES	EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT PROPOSAL	APPROVAL REQUIRED.
named award or associated structure.	available to students as a “module buyer”.	<p>Confirmation of the academic lead for students and annual monitoring purposes</p> <p>Confirmation from the relevant Director of College Operations or nominee to confirm the administrative requirements have been addressed.</p>	
<p>Westminster Electives Portfolio</p> <p>The additional of one or more Westminster Plus Electives to the portfolio of modules available on courses across the University.</p>	A new module or existing module being added to the portfolio	<p>A new module will require external examiner comments. In addition, the Teaching Committee approves the inclusion of the module in the wider suite of modules available across the University annually.</p> <p>A statement as to how the module fits into the Westminster Distinctiveness and contributes to the Employability Strategy or graduate attributes will be expected as part of the modification. Such modules will not be permitted to have any pre-requisites and will normally not require any previous subject specialist knowledge.</p>	University Teaching Committee
<p>Retrospective exceptional modifications</p> <p>In highly exceptional circumstances a module or course may be required to change for the current academic year. Such changes can only be approved by the University Quality Review Committee Chair or nominee.</p>	Examples may include urgent external examiner comments identified after the start of term.	The evidence is the same dependent on the type of modification being proposed; in addition, confirmation of what has been published in the course handbook may be required.	University Teaching Committee Chair, Head of School and College Teaching Committee